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The ParkScore index is a national comparison of park systems across the 100 most populated cities in the United States. Published annually, the index measures park systems according to five categories reflective of an excellent city park system: access, investment, amenities, acreage, and equity. While the rankings provide fodder for friendly competition, the goal each year of publishing the index is to help leaders advocate for needed park resources and provide relevant data to inform local park improvement efforts. To facilitate a fair comparison across cities, the index aggregates across all public and private organizations supporting parks in each city.

This year, DC received a score of 84.9 points out of 100 based on an average of the five categories. There are 14 measures across these categories, with points awarded based on how DC compares to the other 99 cities for that particular measure.

**Acreage**
The acreage score indicates the relative abundance of large ‘destination’ parks, which include large natural areas that provide critical mental health as well as climate and conservation benefits. There are two components to the acreage score. Of these, DC scores among the highest on the percentage of the city’s overall area that is dedicated to parkland (100 out of 100 points), and below average on median park size (9 out of 100 points).

**Access**
The access score indicates the percentage of a city’s residents that live within a walkable half-mile of a park – the average distance that most people are willing to walk to reach a destination. With 98% of its population living this close to a park, DC scores among the highest in this category.

**Investment**
The investment score indicates the relative financial health of a city’s park system, which is essential to ensuring the park system is maintained at a high level. This value aggregates park and recreation spending across all agencies and organizations within the city, including monetized volunteer hours. In DC, a total of $284 per capita is spent each year on publicly accessible parks and recreation, among the highest for this category.

**Amenities**
The amenities score indicates the relative abundance of six park activities popular among a diverse selection of user groups (kids, teenagers, adults, seniors). Overall for this category, DC scores above average, though it varies by amenity: basketball hoops (99 points out of 100), dog parks (100 points), playgrounds (22 points), senior and rec centers (100 points), permanent restrooms (83 points), and splashpads (100 points).

**Equity**
The equity score indicates the fairness in the distribution of parks and park space between neighborhoods by race and income. This category is an average of two types of metrics. On the first set of measures, DC scores among the highest: 98 out of 100 points for people of color living within a 10-minute walk of a park and 97 points for low-income households. The second set of measures compare the distribution of park space. In DC, residents living in neighborhoods of color have access to 17% more nearby park space than those living in white neighborhoods (79 points out of 100). Residents living in lower-income neighborhoods have access to 7% more nearby park space than those in higher-income neighborhoods (73 points out of 100).

For additional information on the scoring methodology, please visit www.tpl.org/parkscore/about
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### Values for Washington, DC:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Relative Value</th>
<th>Points out of 100</th>
<th>1 pt</th>
<th>100 pts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acreage (55 Points)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkland as a percentage of city area</td>
<td>9,294 acres</td>
<td>23.9% of city area</td>
<td>100 points</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Park Size</td>
<td>1.4 acres</td>
<td>9 points</td>
<td></td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access (99 Points)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of population within a 10-minute walk of a park with public access</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99 points</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity (87 Points)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of people of color within a 10-minute walk of a park</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98 points</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of low-income households within a 10-minute walk of a park</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97 points</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents in neighborhoods of color have _____ park space as those in white neighborhoods</td>
<td>17% more</td>
<td>79 points</td>
<td>91% less</td>
<td>45% more</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-income neighborhoods have _____ park space as those in high-income neighborhoods</td>
<td>7% more</td>
<td>73 points</td>
<td>86% less</td>
<td>40% more</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment (100 Points)</strong></td>
<td>$199,863,037</td>
<td>$ 284 per resident</td>
<td>100 points</td>
<td>$19</td>
<td>$197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual park investment (three-year average)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amenities (84 Points)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball hoops</td>
<td>484 hoops</td>
<td>6.86 per 10,000 residents</td>
<td>99 points</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>6.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog parks</td>
<td>19 dog parks</td>
<td>2.69 per 100,000 residents</td>
<td>100 points</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playgrounds</td>
<td>140 playgrounds</td>
<td>1.99 per 10,000 residents</td>
<td>22 points</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior/Rec centers</td>
<td>69 centers</td>
<td>1.96 per 20,000 residents</td>
<td>100 points</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrooms</td>
<td>186 restrooms</td>
<td>2.64 per 10,000 residents</td>
<td>83 points</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splashpads</td>
<td>37 splashpads</td>
<td>5.25 per 100,000 residents</td>
<td>100 points</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The scoring range for each measure is adjusted for outliers. Rather than setting the 100 point threshold at the maximum value, any city that has more than double the national median for that measure scores 100 points.
The Access category of the ParkScore® index awards points based on the percentage of the population within a 10-minute walk of a public park. This analysis is provided via the ParkServe mapping application, which identifies the population living within a 10-minute walk of a park by creating dynamic 1/2-mile service areas (10-minute walking distance) for all public parks. In this analysis, service areas use the street network to determine walkable distance (streets such as highways, freeways, and interstates are considered barriers).

In Washington, 98% of the population lives within a 10-minute walk of a park. Among the remaining 10,761 people without access to a nearby park, Trust for Public Land suggests where to prioritize the development of new parks to reduce this gap. This prioritization is based on a comprehensive index of six equally-weighted demographic and environmental metrics:
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The Equity category of the ParkScore® index awards points in part based on the difference in nearby park space between neighborhoods in a city:

- On a per person basis, ratio of nearby public park space between communities of color and white communities
- On a per person basis, ratio of nearby public park space between low-income communities and high-income communities

Nearby park space per person effectively measures the available park space within a 10-minute walk of a micro-neighborhood, identified as those with the highest concentrations (top 20% of all census block groups in a city) of people of color or white population and high-income or low-income households. Households with income less than 75% of city median income (less than 100,000 in Washington) are considered low-income; households with income greater than 115% of city median income (greater than 150,000 in Washington) are high-income.

In Washington, neighborhoods of color have 17% more park space than white neighborhoods, and low-income neighborhoods have 7% more than high-income neighborhoods.

The metrics for people of color reflect each of the Census-designated race/ethnicity groups: Black, Hispanic, and Indigenous and Native American, Asian Americans, Pacific Islanders, multiple races, and other communities of color.

Demographic profiles are based on 2021 Forecast block groups provided by Esri.
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